



iled July 22, 2003 REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\$\text{\tin}\text{\tetx}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texict{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\

Application No.:

10/624,396

Filed:

July 22, 2003

Inventor(s):

Agarwal, et al.

Title:

TECHNIQUE TO

MONITOR APPLICATION

BEHAVIOR AND TUNE

REPLICATION PERFORMANCE

Examiner: Group/Art

Sorrell, Eron J.

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2614

Group/Art Unit: 2182

Atty. Dkt. No:

5760-12100

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

Rory D. Rankin

Printed Name

March 27, 2006

Date

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION OF JANUARY 25, 2006

M/S AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Final Office Action of January 25, 2006, to further highlight why the application is in condition for allowance.

Please amend the case as listed below.